PRISONERS OF CONSCIENCE
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WHY WE CARE?

The Friedrich Naumann Foundation for Freedom (FNF) is a German liberal organization promoting freedom and responsibility worldwide. The rule of law and human rights are central to our mission and our values. We support like-minded organizations and individuals who share an interest in liberal politics and subscribe to our basic values, both in Germany and throughout the world. We consult, inspire and motivate leaders, and we identify, develop and market innovative freedom themes for tomorrow and beyond. For more than 60 years now, FNF with offices in more than 60 countries, has aspired to be the freedom platform for all liberal minded people worldwide.

As human rights are jeopardized around the globe, we as liberals cannot remain silent. Thus, we strive to defend and support people, who stand up for human rights personally or professionally. Every year hundreds of people around the world are wrongfully imprisoned for no other reason than being critical of those in power. In some countries such as Azerbaijan, Turkey, and Russia, human rights advocates, opposition leaders, journalists, activists and others have been targets of persecution and crackdowns on critical voices. They have become prisoners of conscience.

It is our hope that this report will contribute to raising the importance of this vital issue. We also want to encourage our readers to take direct action. Write to your elected political representatives, ask political parties and governments what they do to address the suffering of persons deprived of their personal liberty because of their political, religious or other beliefs. Support human rights organizations such as Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch and other human rights organizations or create your own action group. The world of social media offers a plethora of opportunities to advance freedom and human rights in every corner of the world. Help to promote freedom, help to defend human rights.

“BEING COMMITTED TO FREEDOM MEANS BEING COMMITTED TO HUMAN RIGHTS.”

Sabine Leutheuss-Schnarrenberger
Deputy Chair of the Management Board of FNF and former German Federal Minister of Justice

Dr. Rainer Adam
Regional Director, Friedrich Naumann Foundation for Freedom for East and Southeast Europe
In mid-December 2019, Elvin Isayev, an Azerbaijani blogger and activist, who has regularly criticized his home country’s government, was deported from Ukraine to Baku for “violating immigration laws”. Azerbaijani authorities did not elaborate on what ground Isayev was detained and what charges he was facing. The move came days ahead of the Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy’s trip to Azerbaijan, where he was scheduled to meet with Azerbaijani President Ilham Aliyev. Following the news, the independent watchdog group Freedom House promptly called for his release, saying that the blogger was deported on politically motivated grounds.

“The Azerbaijani government’s targeting of its critics abroad, including through the use of political pressure, extradition requests, and INTERPOL “red notice” requests, extends the country’s ability to attack critics and dissidents beyond its borders,” said Marc Behrendt, director of Freedom House’s Europe and Eurasia programs, in a statement. While human rights groups are still seeking further information about Isayev’s deportation, it appears that it might be just the latest case of political imprisonment in Azerbaijan.

Every year hundreds of people in Eurasia are jailed for no other reason than being critical of those in power and/or exposing government wrongdoing. At a time when populist and far-right movements are on the rise, the importance of fundamental rights and freedoms has dwindled, posing new challenges to human rights non-profits. Repressive governments have become increasingly creative when it comes to intimidating independent media, restricting and limiting the work of activists, and silencing critics of the state. The current picture is quite grim, particularly in countries such as Azerbaijan, Turkey, and Russia, where human rights defenders, opposition leaders, journalists, have long been targets of persecution.

“Across Eastern Europe and across Central Asia, civil society faced a range of harassment and restrictions, says Amnesty International’s latest report which documented the human rights record of 189 countries and territories in 2017. “Dozens of individuals were jailed for their peaceful activism and became prisoners of conscience in Belarus and Russia, amid ongoing legislative restrictions on media, NGOs and public assemblies.”

“Conditions for journalists and civil society are getting worse through the Eurasian region. But that’s the story of the world, too,” said Alexander Cooley, a Claire Tow Professor of Political Science at Barnard College. “Places like Russia, Turkey, Georgia, which once upon a time were thought to be relatively safe for political exiles, and journalists, and dissidents, have ended up clamping down on oppositional figures at the request of other governments,” he added.

Since the 2016 attempted coup in Turkey, President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan’s government has been cracking down on critics by jailing hundreds of opposition politicians, judges, teachers, journalists, and activists. Many remain in prison on what international advocacy groups are calling fabricated and trumped up charges. Amnesty International stresses that in 2017, for the first time in the organization’s history, both the chair and director of a section became prisoners of conscience themselves. “This year’s census marks the first time in four years that Turkey has not been the world’s worst jailer,” reads the latest report of the Committee to Protect Journalists, an international media watchdog.

However, Gulnoza Said, the Europe and Central Asia Program Coordinator of the Committee to Protect Journalists, CPJ, says that the reduced number of prisoners does not signal an improved situation for the Turkish media. “The cycle of imprisonment, when dozens and dozens of
journalists are put in jail, often multiple times, we see that
the authorities are trying to silence journalists;” said said in
an interview. “Now, journalists are either in jail, those who
are out are practicing self-censorship, or trying to flee the
country out of fear of persecution.” The fall to 47 journalists
in jail from 68 last year reflects the successful efforts by the
government of President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan to stamp
out independent reporting and criticism by closing down
more than 100 news outlets and lodging terror-related
charges against many of their staff. “By silencing journalists
and controlling the flow of information the authorities hope
to have tighter control over society.”

The situation in Azerbaijan is not much different. Baku has
been under severe international scrutiny for years due to the
abuse of human rights and repression against fundamental
freedoms of activists, reporters, and critical voices.” At
least 43 human rights defenders, journalists, political
and religious activists remained wrongfully imprisoned, while
dozens more were detained or under criminal investigation,
faced harassment and travel bans, or fled Azerbaijan,” reads
the annual report of Human Rights Watch about Azerbaijan.
“Restrictive laws continued to prevent nongovernmental
organizations (NGOs) from operating independently. Other
persistent human rights problems included systemic torture,
undue interference in the work of lawyers, and restrictions
on media freedoms.”

Cooley, the professor at Barnard College, is concerned about
the extraterritorial nature of some of the cases. For example,
Afgan Mukhtarli, an Azerbaijani investigative journalist who
was kidnapped in the Georgian capital Tbilisi in 2017 and
brought to Baku where he was later sentenced to six years
in prison. “That was an extraordinary case because it seems
to have revealed informal cooperation between the Azeri and
Georgian security services,” said Cooley in a phone interview.
“It’s an example of a neighboring country exerting pressure
on a neighbor and trying to limit the kind of third-party safe
space.”

Russia continues to suppress and shut down human
rights organizations and activist groups under the so-called
“undesirable organizations” laws, which allow
authorities to investigate, fine, and even jail the employees
of organizations, which the Kremlin sees as inconvenient.
Opposition leader Alexei Navalny has been a target of
multiple arrests in recent years. He spent the summer of
2019 behind bars. In October this year his Anti-Corruption
Foundation, already officially declared by Russian authorities,
as a “foreign agent”, was raided. Russia still remains one of
the worst places in the world to be a journalist. “In Russia,
for example, we have seen a number of legislative changes
in recent years that made it practically impossible to be an
independent journalist, especially if you are collaborating
with foreign media outlets,” said from CPJ noted. “There are
fewer journalists killed, it’s not as brutal as it used to be in
terms of how authorities treat journalists…, but it does not
mean that there are more freedoms or safety for journalists.”

There is, however, a beacon of good news. In September
Kyiv and Moscow exchanged dozens of political prisoners,
a move which many saw as historic, given the strained
ties between the two countries after the conflict in Ukraine.
Among those freed was Oleg Sentsov, a Ukrainian film
director, sentenced by a Russian court to 20 years in
prison for “plotting terrorist acts” following the Russian
annexation of Crimea. After his release he was awarded
the 2018 Sakharov Prize in person which he dedicated to
“all Ukrainian political prisoners that have been in Russian
prisons and to all that are still there.”

The conflict in Eastern Ukraine between the Ukrainian
government and Russia-backed armed groups continues to
simmer. It has killed more than 4000 civilians as of February
2019, since its start five years earlier, according to the United
Nations. Ukraine’s Security Services have not acknowledged
the alleged existence of secret prisons for torture of Russian
separatists and international organizations report the
country has made little progress investigating the case.
Meanwhile, human rights violations have been widespread,
as Ukrainian authorities pressure independent press and
activists. In 2018 the Ukrainian government took further
steps to limit the freedom of expression and association.

Similar to other countries in the region, Belarusian
government has long repressed independent reporters,
human rights advocates, and activists. In Belarus hundreds
of demonstrators were arrested in 2010 when violence
erupted after people took to the streets to protest against
the election results in a huge but mostly peaceful rally. Many
were sentenced for taking part in the protest, including
opposition politicians and activists. Some were in custody
until Belarusian President Alexander Lukashenko, the
country’s strongman who has been in power for 25 years,
decided to free six of those political prisoners in August
2019. While international watchdogs hailed their release, they
warned that they would continue to follow the situation in
the country. In October 2019 Dzmitry Paliyenka, an activist and former political prisoner, was sentenced to two years of “freedom limitation”, a sentence with restrictions similar to parole, on a hooliganism charge. Many see the trial against him as politically motivated and just the latest example of repression from the Belarusian authorities. Belarus is the only country in Europe that continues to use the death penalty.

While international human rights watchdogs have been raising the alarm about the plight of political prisoners and Eastern Europe and Asia, the issue has largely fallen down the agenda of political leaders and trans-governmental organizations.

The European Court on Human Rights is one of the transnational institutions, which documents and sanctions cases of political imprisonment. However, often its recommendations for reform fall on deaf ears when it comes to local governments implementing the court’s directives and amending their human rights record. Alexandra Stiglmayer, Brussels-based Senior Analyst for the European Stability Initiative, a think-tank with a focus on the Balkans, Turkey, Central Europe and the South Caucasus, remembers the time when the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe, PACE, has had a leading role in tackling the issue of political prisoners. “It was a big issue in the early 2000s when Azerbaijan joined the Council of Europe,” she said. “Since then, however, it slowly died down.”

Over the years, however, efforts to tackle the issue of political prisoners in Azerbaijan, notorious for its severe abuse of human rights, fell short. Stiglmayer says the fact that PACE’s member countries have political prisoners is unfortunately not much of an issue within the organization, calling the matter a “forgotten topic”. Moreover, in recent years the Council of Europe has been rattled by scandals. Last year more than a dozen members of PACE, were forced to leave the organization for accepting gifts and bribes from Baku. Journalistic investigations revealed cases of caviar diplomacy, as Azerbaijan alleged spent $2.9 billion in payments and luxury goods to influence members of PACE and to prevent the institution from criticizing its poor human rights record.

Experts say those alleged corruption schemes have tarnished the organization’s image and reputation as a guardian of human rights and democracy. “If things were different, we would push for the establishment of an expert group on political prisoners,” said Stiglmayer. The idea is to have a more effective mechanism to tackle the issue of political prisoners, by establishing a “commission of renowned independent experts” who would carefully examine individual cases of political prisoners where the problem is systemic. Cooley sees the different global political context as another potential reason for the lack of interest toward political prisoners. “The champions of the traditional human rights order are dealing with domestic crisis themselves – between groups or parties that take more illiberal lines,” he said. “There is definitely a turn away from paying attention to what is going on in the European periphery.” Cooley says there is a credibility issue, too. “People like Erdoğan can say: Why are you criticizing us? You guys are a total mess. You don’t have any credibility left.” he noted.

Observers see a myriad of challenges ahead, with no magic formula to put an end of jailing people critical of their governments. Some experts stress that the trend of extraterritorial cooperation is something that is quite significant. “Once you consolidate power domestically and you can have these tools for surveillance and for intimidation, it’s only logical that then you start taking them abroad, exporting them to areas where you can target opposition members and exiles, and activities of journalists,” said Cooley. “It’s relatively simple to do and it’s not very costly.” “Azerbaijani journalists don’t feel safe in Georgia, which is one of the more open countries in the post-Soviet space in terms of media freedom, and other countries,” Said said.

Cooley, on the other hand, thinks that the West should also reconsider its approach to tackling political imprisonment and human rights violations in general. “When the West cannot get its own house in order, how is it supposed to influence others? There needs to be a recognition that things are not going into the right direction and some of the assumptions of the 1990s are no longer operable and the architecture for preserving these kind of rights is either broken or not very effective anymore.” He suggests that accusing countries of not upholding rights is not working and it is probably better to appeal to countries’ sense of prestige and encourage them to step up their anti-corruption efforts. “One of the ways forward is to continue these deep investigative accounts of how influence peddling occurs, how it is a systematic part of some of these groups, and certainly outreach,” he said. “In other words – exposing the enablers in this provides some naming and shaming.”
Putting an end to political imprisonment has never been an easy task. First, there is no universal definition of the term political prisoner.

According to the definition, adopted by the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe in 2012, a political prisoner is:

“A person deprived of his or her personal liberty is to be regarded as a ‘political prisoner’:

- if the detention has been imposed in violation of one of the fundamental guarantees set out in the European Convention on Human Rights and its Protocols (ECHR), in particular freedom of thought, conscience and religion, freedom of expression and information, freedom of assembly and association;
- if the detention has been imposed for purely political reasons without connection to any offence;
- if, for political motives, the length of the detention or its conditions are clearly out of proportion to the offence the person has been found guilty of or is suspected of;
- if, for political motives, he or she is detained in a discriminatory manner as compared to other persons; or,
- if the detention is the result of proceedings which were clearly unfair and this appears to be connected with political motives of the authorities.”

Amnesty International, for example, uses the phrase "prisoner of conscience", which refers to someone who is jailed because of their political, religious or other beliefs which are not in line with those of the government.

Second, tracking down and further researching cases of convicting someone for political crimes takes a lot of time and resources. In some cases the lines are blurred. Thus, it is difficult to determine who is a political prisoner.
Every year hundreds of people around the world are jailed for no other reason than being critical of those in power and/or exposing government wrongdoing. In some countries such as Azerbaijan, Turkey, and Russia, human rights advocates, opposition leaders, journalists, have been targets of persecution.

The Regional Office of Friedrich Naumann Foundation for Freedom for East and Southeast Europe decided to shed light on the plight of political prisoners in Europe and South Caucasuses by profiling six current and former political prisoners: Ahmet Altan (Turkey), Nazli Ilicak (Turkey), Afgan Mukhtarli (Azerbaijan), Gozol Bayramli (Azerbaijan), Anastasia Shevchenko (Russia), Roman Sushchenko (Ukraine).

It is a worrisome trend that four out of six political prisoners profiled in this series are journalists, who have been sentenced because of their work.

#FreeThemAll
Ahmet Altan was arrested in September 2016, along with his brother, in the aftermath of the attempted coup in Turkey. They were accused of helping the network of Fethullah Gulen. The Altan brothers were among the thousands of Turkish judges, politicians, university professors, and journalists who had been arrested following the failed coup, as the Turkish government launched a massive crackdown on alleged links to Gulen and his movement, whom the Turkish authorities claim to be the mastermind behind the coup.

Altan was on trial along other journalists, including Nazli Ilicak. In 2018 a Turkish court found the novelist guilty of “attempting to overthrow the Turkish constitutional order” and sentenced him to life without parole. In the summer of 2019 Turkey’s Supreme Court quashed the 2018 verdict. On 4th November 2019, however, Altan was sentenced to ten-and-a-half years in prison for “aiding a terrorist group without being a member of it”. Ilicak received nearly nine years for the same charge. They were released pending appeal and subject to a travel ban. On 6th November 2019 the prosecutor appealed against the court decision to release Ahmet Altan on the grounds that he was a flight risk. A week later, Altan was detained again.

Altan has found himself in the center of controversy, according to a recent story by The Economist. At the time when he was an editor of the Taraf newspaper, the publication circulated a series of stories implicating the army of conspiracies against the government of the then Prime Minister Recep Tayyip Erdoğan in the late 2000s, theories that later turned out to be based on forged documents, supplied by Gulen supporters. However, even Altan’s toughest critics agree that the charges against him are trumped up.

Prison literature seems to be running in the family. His father, Cetin Altan, also a prominent writer, a journalist, and a politician, wrote one of his most popular novels while in jail. When police officers came to arrest him following the 1971 military coup, he offered them coffee. More than 25 years later, his son followed his father’s example and also treated the police to coffee when they came to detain him.

On 4th November 2019, however, Altan was sentenced to ten-and-a-half years in prison for “aiding a terrorist group without being a member of it”. Ilicak received nearly nine years for the same charge. They were released pending appeal and subject to a travel ban. On 6th November 2019 Altan was detained again.

In a recent piece for the Guardian Ahmet Altan writes: “I am out of the Turkish prison but thousands of innocent people are still there. For over three years, I lived in a small cell with two other inmates who had committed no crime. Nobody listened to what they said. Despite pleading innocence again and again they were condemned to prison by judges not unlike those in ‘A Farewell to Arms’.”
Nazli Ilicak, a veteran Turkish journalist, writer, and politician, has been behind bars since 2016. Along with Ahmet Altan and other Turkish journalists, they were accused of aiding the movement of Fethullah Gulen. His organization as deemed by the government as a terrorist group and the organizer of the 2016 failed coup.

At the time, Ilicak was the head of the “Özgür Düşünce” newspaper. The Turkish government, however, shut down the publication in the summer of 2016, as part of its efforts to crackdown on media that it regards as affiliated with the Gulenist movement.

Ilicak is a prominent Turkish journalist with decades-long experience in print, television, and publishing. Besides journalism, she also pursued a career in politics and was elected as a Member of Parliament in the late 90s from the Virtue Party. Later, however, Turkey’s Constitutional Court ordered the party to be dissolved, stating that the party’s activities were contrary to the principle of secularism. As a result, Ilicak lost her seat and was banned from founding or being an officer of another political party for five years. The European Court of Human Rights, however, decided that this was a violation of her right to freedom of expression.

In February 2018, along with Altan, she was sentenced to life in prison for attempting to overthrow the constitutional order. A number of international human rights organizations condemned the verdict, citing violations of their right to a fair trial and access to proper defense.

In July 2019, the Supreme Court of Appeal overturned her life sentence and cleared her of charges related to violating the constitution. However, the judges left her in jail, as she was facing other charges.

Ahead of a second hearing in November more than a dozen advocacy and media organizations called for the immediate release of Ilicak, Altan, and other jailed journalists, stating that the charges against them “are politically motivated and the case should never have gone to trial.” “We believe that the new charges are also bogus, as no credible evidence has been presented linking the defendants to terrorism,” their statement reads.

A Turkish court sentenced her to eight years and nine months in prison but decided to release her, as she had already spent three years in prison. Under the conditions of her release Ilicak has to regularly report to a local police station and is banned to leave the country.

“I welcome this long-awaited decision to release the Altan brothers and Nazli Ilicak from prison,” said Harlem Désir, the OSCE Representative on Freedom of the Media, in a statement. “I hope that this ruling will trigger change when it comes to the situation of journalists in the country and the unjustified criminal prosecution faced by many of them.”

Disclaimer: As of 10th December 2019, research shows that Nazli Ilicak has been released but banned from leaving the country. She is also awaiting retrial on different charges.
In May 2017 Afgan Mukhtarli, an Azeri investigative journalist living in self-imposed exile in Georgia, went out to see a friend in Tbilisi, the Georgian capital. Once the meeting was over he rang his wife Leyla Mustafayevat to let her know that he was on his way back home. However, he never returned. Instead, a day later he appeared in a detention center in Azeri capital Baku. He was later charged with illegal border crossing, smuggling, and resisting arrest. In January 2018 an Azerbaijani court found him guilty and sentenced him to six years in prison.

Mukhtarli said that he had been forcibly pushed into a car by four strangers who were speaking in Georgian, some of whom wearing police uniforms. At the time of his disappearance, he was working on an investigation into the assets of the Azerbaijan’s President Ilham Aliyev and his family in Georgia.

Mukhtarli and his wife fled to Georgia in 2015 following threats the journalists had received in relation to a story exposing corruption in Azeri defense ministry. As an investigative reporter, he has been working with many independent publications, including the Institute for War and Peace Reporting, Radio Free Europe, and the Organized Crime and Corruption Reporting Project.

Since 2017 a number of local and international human rights organizations and media watchdogs have been calling for his release and urging Georgia to investigate his alleged abduction. Georgian Prime Minister has denied any involvement of Georgian authorities. However, two years after the incident, the investigation of the case is still pending.

In the summer of 2017 the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg started to examine the journalist's case as a priority and sent questions both to the Azeri and Georgian governments. The European Parliament also issued a strong resolution, condemning Mukhtarli’s detention in Baku, describing his case as a “serious violation of human rights and a grave act of breach of law”. The European Members of Parliament stressed that the “prosecution of Afgan Mukhtarli follows bogus charges and reiterates that he is trailed for his work as an independent journalist”.

Mukhtarli is not the first Azeri journalist to be sent to jail investigating high-ranking graft in his home country, infamous for this. In 2015 investigative journalist Khadija Ismayilova, known for her investigations into corruption involving Aliyev and his family, was sentenced to seven and a half years in prison on what many human rights organizations saw as fake charges of tax evasion and embezzlement. One year later, amid mounting international pressure, Azerbaijan’s Supreme Court released her on probation.

In the meantime, Mukhtarli remains in jail, where his health has been deteriorating. In September 2019 he went on a hunger strike and refused to take his Diabetes 2 medication because of the alleged mistreatment of his lawyer by prison officials.

Following the detention of her husband and fearing for their safety, his wife and daughter left Georgia and received asylum in Germany.

Disclaimer: As of 10th December 2019, research shows that Afgan Mukhtarli is still in detention.

#FreeThemAll
In May 2017, Gozel Bayramli, an Azerbaijani politician and deputy chair of the opposition Popular Front Party, APFP, was returning to her home country from a medical treatment in neighboring Georgia. At the border an officer asked her to step aside for further questioning because there was something wrong with her passport. The border official insisted to inspect her luggage and found $12,000 in one of her bags, which is $2,000 more than the allowed amount of undeclared cash a person can carry. Then Bayramli was charged with smuggling for failing to declare the money. She has maintained her innocence, claiming that the border police planted the money in her bag. She also said that the charges against her had been fabricated.

In January 2018 she was sentenced to three years in prison. Her lawyer, Elcin Sadiqo, called the ruling "illegal" and said he would appeal it, Radio Free Europe's Azerbaijani service reported. Bayramli described the verdict as "a black stain on a judicial system that executes a political order from the authoritarian regime" Sadiqov was quoted saying.

Dozens of APFP members have also been arrested and some imprisoned in the last several years on what some have called trumped-up charges, according to Radio Free Europe.

In recent years Azerbaijan has been widely criticized for trying to repress investigative journalists, opposition leaders, critics of President Ilham Aliyev and his government by jailing them on what international human rights watchdogs see as trumped-up accusations. Aliyev has been in power since 2003, when he took over shortly before his father Heydar Aliyev passed away who had ruled the former Soviet republic for decades.

“I believe that people of Azerbaijan who managed to prevail during the 70-year-long [Soviet] empire are capable of prevailing over the corrupt regime of [President Ilham] Aliyev. Aliyev's rule is today the major barbaric impediment for freedom...” Bayramli was quoted as saying by Radio Free Europe’s Azerbaijani service.

In March, 2019 Bayramli, who had spent two years in prison, was freed along with other 400 prisoners by a presidential pardon ahead of Nowruz, Azerbaijan’s New Year. While pardoning of inmates is common around that time of the year, her release is seen by some as unusual.

Disclaimer: As of 10th December 2019, research shows that Gozel Bayramli has been freed following a presidential pardon.
In January 2019 Anastasia Shevchenko, a Russian activist, was put under house arrest, the first person to face criminal prosecution under the so-called “undesirable organizations” law. The legislation was adopted in 2015 as part of a series of legislative amendments, which was designed to reduce, and to outlaw altogether the work of foreign-funded nonprofit organizations.

In 2017 Russian authorities labelled Open Russia movement, a pro-democracy nonprofit organization, as “undesirable” and banned it along with some other organizations, established by the Russian businessman and former oil tycoon Mikhail Khodorkovsky. He is currently living in exile and is known as a fierce critic of the Kremlin and Russian President Vladimir Putin.

If convicted, Shevchenko, the Open Russia movement’s former coordinator in Rostov-on-Don, a city in southern Russia, could face up to six years in jail. And remand is proving tough.

Shortly after she was arrested, her oldest daughter fell ill and authorities allowed the activist to visit her in the hospital just hours before she died. The news about the death of Shevchenko’s daughter prompted a wave of protests in several Russian cities in support of the activist, including Moscow, St. Petersburg, Rostov-on-Don, and Yekaterinburg. Local media reported that at least nine demonstrators had been arrested during the marches in solidarity with the activist.

Both Russian and international human rights organizations have condemned the arrest. Amnesty International declared Shevchenko a prisoner of conscience. “The criminal case against Anastasia Shevchenko is profoundly flawed, and by forging ahead with it regardless, the Russian authorities are creating an abhorrent precedent,” said Marie Struthers, the organization’s director for Eastern Europe and Central Asia, in a statement, released in March 2019.

Prior to Shevchenko’s arrest, the police raided the homes of six people associated with the movement in Rostov-on-Don, including hers. Other Open Russia activists were also targeted. Also in January Open Russia coordinator in Pskov Liya Milushkina and her husband Artyom Milushkin were arrested and accused of selling drugs, a charge that was deemed to be fake. The home of Maksim Vernikov, another activist of the movement, was searched in March. Then he was also charged and placed under travel restrictions.
In 2016 Ukrainian journalist Roman Sushchenko travelled from Paris to Moscow on a private visit. However, Russian authorities arrested him on suspicion of espionage. They did not inform Sushchenko’s family, his employer, or the Ukrainian government of his arrest in violation of international law.

Sushchenko, who had been working with Ukrinform, the state news service, since 2002, was sent to Paris as their French correspondent nine years ago. During his time in Paris he has covered politics, business, and current affairs. However, he has also been critical of alleged instances of Russian propaganda in French media.

Later Russia’s Federal Security Service, FSB, claimed that Sushchenko was “a member of the principal intelligence services of Ukraine’s Ministry of Defense”. Ukrainian government subsequently denied the allegations.

In the summer of 2018 a Russian court sentenced Sushchenko to twelve years in jail for spying.

“We consider Roman Sushchenko innocent, but in such cases only political results are possible,” said Mark Feygin, a lawyer for Sushchenko, following the verdict, according to Reuters. He also added that the trial against him was politically motivated and based on bogus charges.

In September 2019, after spending three years in a Russian prison, Sushchenko was released as part of a historic prisoner swap between Russia and Ukraine. The two countries exchanged dozens of prisoners in a first such move in recent years. The relations between Kyiv and Moscow have deteriorated following the 2014 conflict in Crimea and its annexation by Russia.

“Russia’s security services falsely painted Sushchenko as an intelligence plant, a spurious accusation they have repeatedly lodged against reporters who refuse to accept the Kremlin’s party line,” said Polina Kovaleva, Eurasia project director at PEN America, a media watchdog. “There’s no doubt this is part of a larger worldwide phenomenon of authoritarian leaders — not just in Russia — tarring journalists as enemies of the people. Sushchenko has escaped further persecution, but other writers, journalists, and activists continue to be unjustly punished for exercising the universal right to free expression.”

Shortly after Sushchenko’s release he expressed plans to organize an auction to sell pictures he drew and painted during his detention. “Regarding the further fate of these works, I have an idea to hold a charity auction and use the funds accordingly to support our political prisoners and their families, their children,” said the journalist at a press conference in Kyiv, quoted by Radio Free Europe’s Ukrainian service.

Sushchenko said he planned to continue working as a journalist.